

Local convexity on smooth manifolds^{1,2,3}

T. RAPCSÁK⁴

¹The paper is dedicated to the memory of Guido Stampacchia.

²The author wishes to thank F. Giannessi for the advice.

³Research was supported in part by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund, Grants OTKA-T043276, OTKA-T043241 and by CNR, Rome, Italy.

⁴Professor, Computer and Automation Research Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary.

Abstract. In the paper, some properties of the spaces of paths are studied in order to define and characterize the local convexity of sets belonging to smooth manifolds and the local convexity of functions defined on the local convex sets of smooth manifolds.

KeyWords. Smooth manifolds, space of paths, linear connection, local convexity.

1. INTRODUCTION

A convex function has convex less-equal level sets. That the converse is not true was realized by de Finetti (Ref. 1). The problem of level sets, formulated and discussed first by Fenchel in 1951 (Ref. 2), is as follows (see, p.117): Under what conditions is a nested family of closed convex sets the family of the level sets of a convex function?

Fenchel (Refs. 2-3) gave necessary and sufficient conditions for a convex function with the prescribed level sets, furthermore, for a smooth convex function under the additional assumption that the given subsets are the level sets of a twice continuously differentiable function. In the first case, seven conditions were deduced, and while the first six are simple and intuitive, the seventh is rather complicated. This fact and the additional assumption in the smooth case, according to which the given subsets are the level sets of a twice continuously differentiable function, seem to be the motivation that Roberts and Varberg (Ref. 4, p.271) raised the following question of level sets among some unsolved problems: "What "nice" conditions on

a nested family of convex sets will ensure that it is the family of level sets of a convex function?”

The Fenchel problem of level sets consists of some different subproblems. If the union of the level sets $A \subseteq R^n$ is a convex set, then a quasiconvex function can be constructed with the prescribed level sets (Fenchel, Ref. 2), so the original question now reads as finding conditions under which the level sets of a quasiconvex function are those of a convex function. In the case of a convex set $A \subseteq R^n$ and a continuous quasiconvex function, the question is to characterize the convex image transformable functions.

In the theory of economics, Debreu (Ref. 5) proved his famous theorem on the representation of a continuous and complete preference ordering by a utility function. In economics, it should be important to express a continuous, complete and convex preference ordering by a concave utility function, or in other words, to transform a continuous quasiconcave function into a concave function preserving the same upper-level set mapping. Crouzeix (Ref. 6) and Kannai (Refs. 7-8) studied

the problem of concavifiability of convex preference orderings, i.e., the problem of the existence of a concave function having the same level sets as a given continuous quasiconcave one, and they developed the Fenchel results further.

In the smooth case, the original problem is divided into two parts. The first one is to give conditions for the existence of a smooth pseudoconvex function with the prescribed level sets, while the second one is to characterize the smooth convex image transformable functions.

Rapcsák (Ref. 9) gave an explicit formulation of the gradient of the class of the smooth pseudolinear functions, which results in the solution of the first part of the Fenchel problem in the case of a nested family of convex sets whose boundaries are of hyperplanes defining an open convex set. This result was generalized by Rapcsák (Refs. 10-11) for the case where the boundaries of the nested family of convex sets in R^{n+1} are given by n -dimensional differentiable manifolds of class C^3 and the convex sets determine an open or closed convex set in R^{n+1} .

A first complete set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the second part of

the level set problem was derived by Fenchel (Refs. 2-3). Later, several contributions were published by different authors and these results are presented within a unified framework in the book of Avriel et al. (Ref. 12).

In the paper of two parts, a new and “nice” geometric necessary and sufficient condition will be given for the existence of a smooth convex function with the level sets of a given smooth pseudoconvex function, which is a new solution for the second part of the Fenchel problem of level sets in the smooth case. The main theorem is proved by using a general differential geometric tool, the geometry of paths defined on smooth manifolds which is the subject of the first part of the paper. This approach provides a complete geometric characterization of a new subclass of pseudoconvex functions originated from analytical mechanics, an extension of the local-global property of nonlinear optimization to nonconvex open sets, a powerful tool - the linear connection which does not depend on either the original data or a Riemannian metric - to improve the structure of a function or a problem from optimization point of view, and a new view on the convexlike

and generalized convexlike mappings in the image analysis (see, e.g., Giannessi, Refs. 13-14; Mastroeni et al., Ref. 15).

In Section 2, some properties of the spaces of paths are studied in order to define and characterize the local convexity of sets belonging to smooth manifolds and the local convexity of functions defined on the local convex sets of smooth manifolds.

2. SPACE OF PATHS

Let M be a smooth (C^2) n -dimensional connected manifold and m a point in M . The tangent space TM_m at m is an n -dimensional vector space. A 2-covariant tensor at m is a real-valued 2-linear function on $TM_m \times TM_m$. A 2-covariant tensor is positive semidefinite (definite) at a point $m \in M$ if the corresponding matrix is positive semidefinite (definite) on $TM_m \times TM_m$ in any coordinate representation. A 2-covariant tensor field is positive semidefinite (definite) on a set $A \subseteq M$ if it is a positive semidefinite (definite) tensor at every point of A . A path γ on M is a smooth mapping $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$. The space of paths is based on the differentiable structure of the manifold.

Definition 2.1. The mapping Γ is a linear connection on an open subset A of

M if

- (i) a set of n^3 smooth (at least continuously differentiable) functions

$$\Gamma_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3}, \quad l_1, l_2, l_3 = 1, \dots, n,$$

is given in every system of local coordinates on A , and

- (ii) the sets of functions $\Gamma_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3}$, $l_1, l_2, l_3 = 1, \dots, n$, given in a coordinate

representation \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{u} , respectively, are transformed by the rule

$$\Gamma_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3} = \bar{\Gamma}_{k_1 k_2}^{k_3} \frac{\partial x_{l_3}}{\partial u_{k_3}} \frac{\partial u_{k_1}}{\partial x_{l_1}} \frac{\partial u_{k_2}}{\partial x_{l_2}} + \frac{\partial^2 u_{l_3}}{\partial x_{l_1} \partial x_{l_2}} \frac{\partial x_{l_3}}{\partial u_{k_3}}, \quad (1)$$

$$\text{for all } l_1, l_2, l_3, k_1, k_2, k_3 = 1, \dots, n,$$

where two coinciding indices mean summation.

Definition 2.2. A Γ -geodesic, i.e., a geodesic of a linear connection Γ on an open

set $A \subseteq M$ is a path each coordinate expression of which satisfies the differential

equations

$$x''_{l_3}(t) + \Gamma_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3}(\mathbf{x}(t)) x'_{l_1}(t) x'_{l_2}(t) = 0, \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad l_3 = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2)$$

Let

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma^1 \\ \Gamma^2 \\ \vdots \\ \Gamma^n \end{pmatrix},$$

where Γ^i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, are $n \times n$ matrices, then the Γ -geodesics can be given in a coordinate neighbourhood as follows:

$$\mathbf{x}''(t) = -\mathbf{x}'(t)^T \Gamma(\mathbf{x}(t)) \mathbf{x}'(t), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (3)$$

By the theory of differential equations, equation (3) has a solution at every point and in every direction. Let R^n, R, R_+, R_{\geq} , be the n -dimensional Euclidean space, the 1-dimensional Euclidean space consisting of the real numbers, the positive real numbers and the nonnegative real numbers, respectively.

Definition 2.3. Let Γ be a linear connection on an open subset $A \subseteq M$. Then, A is Γ -convex if for all $m_1, m_2 \in A$ there exists a Γ -geodesic γ such that $\gamma(0) = m_1$, $\gamma(1) = m_2$ and $\gamma \subseteq A$.

A function $f : A \rightarrow R$ is (strictly) Γ -convex on a Γ -convex set A if it is (strictly)

convex along all the Γ -geodesics belonging to A .

By the definition, the following inequalities hold for every Γ -geodesic belonging to A and joining two arbitrary points $m_1, m_2 \in A$:

$$f(\gamma(t)) \leq (1-t)f(\gamma(0)) + tf(\gamma(1)), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (4)$$

where $\gamma(0) = m_1, \gamma(1) = m_2$.

If $\Gamma_{l_1 l_2}^{l_3} = 0, l_1, l_2, l_3 = 1, \dots, n$, then the Γ -convex set $A \subseteq R^n$ is a convex set and the Γ -convex function $f: A \rightarrow R$ is a convex function on A , where

$$\gamma(t) = m_1 + t(m_2 - m_1), \quad t \in [0, 1]. \quad (5)$$

A function $f: A \rightarrow R$ defined on a Γ -convex set $A \subseteq M$ is (strictly) Γ -concave if $-f$ is (strictly) Γ -convex.

Geodesic convexity derived from Riemannian metrics on Riemannian manifolds were studied in details from differential geometric point of view in Udriste (Ref. 16) and from optimization theoretical point of view in Rapcsák (Ref. 11). Here, these approaches are developed further. The following statements are direct generaliza-

tions of the results related to geodesic convexity.

Lemma 2.1.

(i) If $A \subseteq M$ is a Γ -convex set and $g_i : A \rightarrow R$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, are Γ -convex

functions, then the intersection of the level sets

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^l A_{g_i(m_0)} = \{m \in A \mid g_i(m) \leq g_i(m_0), \quad m_0 \in A\}, \quad i = 1, \dots, l, \quad (6)$$

is a Γ -convex set.

(ii) If $A \subseteq M$ is a Γ -convex set and $g_i : A \rightarrow R$, $i = 1, \dots, l$, are Γ -convex

functions, then the nonnegative linear combinations of the Γ -convex func-

tions are Γ -convex on A .

(iii) If $A \subseteq M$ is a Γ -convex set, $f : A \rightarrow R$ a Γ -convex function and $\phi : R \rightarrow R$

a nondecreasing convex function, then ϕf is Γ -convex on A .

Theorem 2.1. If $A \subseteq M$ is a Γ -convex set and $f : A \rightarrow R$ a Γ -convex function,

then a local minimum of f is a global minimum.

Definition 2.4. Let Γ be a linear connection on an open subset $A \subseteq M$. Then,

A is locally Γ -convex if a neighborhood $U(\mathbf{x})$ of every point $\mathbf{x} \in A$ exists such

that all the pairs of points $m_1, m_2 \in U(\mathbf{x})$ can be joined by a unique Γ -geodesic belonging to $U(\mathbf{x})$, i.e., $\gamma(0) = m_1$, $\gamma(1) = m_2$ and $\gamma \subseteq U(\mathbf{x})$.

A function $f : A \rightarrow R$ is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A if A is a locally Γ -convex set and f is (strictly) convex along all the Γ -geodesics belonging to a Γ -convex neighborhood of every point of A .

The next statement demonstrates the importance of Definition 2.4.

Theorem 2.2. Whitehead theorem (Ref. 17). Let $W(M; \Gamma)$ be the set of all geodesics of some linear connection Γ on a smooth n -dimensional manifold M . Then, M is locally convex with respect to $W(M; \Gamma)$, i.e., M is locally Γ -convex.

Theorem 2.3. If $A \subseteq M$ is an open set, $f : A \rightarrow R$, a differentiable function, and Γ is a linear connection on A , then f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A iff for every pair of points $m_1 \in A$, $m_2 \in A$ in any Γ -convex neighborhood, and a connecting geodesic $\gamma(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$, $\gamma(0) = m_1$, $\gamma(1) = m_2$,

$$f(m_2) - f(m_1)(>) \geq \frac{df(m_1)}{dt}, \quad (7)$$

where $\frac{df(m_1)}{dt}$ denotes the derivative of $\frac{df(\gamma(t))}{dt}$ at the point 0.

Proof. By Definition 2.4, a function f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A if A is a locally Γ -convex set and f is (strictly) convex along all the Γ -geodesics belonging to a Γ -convex neighborhood of every point of A . Since A is an open set, by the Whitehead theorem, A is locally Γ -convex. Thus, it is sufficient to verify the statement only in an arbitrary Γ -convex neighborhood.

The local (strict) Γ -convexity of f in a Γ -convex neighborhood means the (strict) convexity of the single variable function along the connecting Γ -geodesic for every pair of points in this Γ -convex neighborhood. By the first-order characterization, a differentiable function $f(\gamma(t))$, $t \in [0, 1]$, is (strictly) convex iff formula (7) holds, from which the statement follows. □

By formula (7), the local (strict) Γ -convexity of the function f is equivalent to the local Γ -invexity (Ref. 18), moreover, in the case of every pair of points $(m_1, m_2) \in A \times A$, the invexity map satisfies $\eta(m_1, m_2) \in TM_{m_1}$ and it is equal to

the tangent vector at m_1 of the Γ -geodesic joining the points m_1 and m_2 .

Definition 2.5. A point m of the n -dimensional manifold M is said to be a critical (stationary) point of the smooth map $f : M \rightarrow R$ if the derivative of the function f at that point is equal to zero.

Corollary 2.1. Let $A \subseteq M$ be an open Γ -convex set and $f : A \rightarrow R$ a differentiable (strictly) Γ -convex function. Then, every stationary point of f is a (strict) global minimum point. Moreover, the set of global minimum points is Γ -convex.

Monotonicity notion studied for geodesic convex functions by Udriste (Ref. 16) can be directly applied to Γ -convex functions.

Definition 2.6. Let $A \subseteq M$ be an open set, and $f : A \rightarrow R$ a differentiable function. Then, $\frac{df}{dt}$ is locally (strictly) Γ -monotone on A if, for every pair of points $m_1 \in A$, $m_2 \in A$, in any Γ -convex neighborhood, and a connecting geodesic $\gamma(t)$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $\gamma(0) = m_1$, $\gamma(1) = m_2$,

$$\frac{df(m_1)}{dt} - \frac{df(m_2)}{dt} (<) \leq 0. \quad (8)$$

If $M = R^n$, or we consider a coordinate representation, then the (strict) Γ -monotonicity of $\frac{df}{dt}$ means that

$$\nabla f(m_1)\gamma'(0) - \nabla f(m_2)\gamma'(1)(<) \leq 0, \quad (9)$$

where the row vector ∇f denotes the gradient of the function f .

Theorem 2.4. Let $A \subseteq M$ be an open set, and $f: A \rightarrow R$ a differentiable function. Then, f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A iff $\frac{df}{dt}$ is locally (strictly) Γ -monotone on A .

Let us introduce the notation

$$V^T \Gamma = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \Gamma^i, \quad (10)$$

where the vector $V = (v_1, \dots, v_n)^T$ belongs to an n -dimensional vector space, and let $C^2(A, R)$ denote the set of all twice continuously differentiable functions of A into R .

Definition 2.7. The covariant derivative of a smooth function on the manifold M is equal to the derivative in any coordinate representation. A vector field V is

defined on the manifold M as a smooth map $V : M \rightarrow R^n$ such that $V(m) \in TM_m$ for all $m \in M$. The covariant derivative with respect to a linear connection Γ on a covariant vector field V is equal to

$$D_\Gamma V = JV - V^T \Gamma, \quad (11)$$

in any coordinate representation where JV denotes the Jacobian matrix of the corresponding vector field at each point of an arbitrary coordinate neighborhood.

Theorem 2.5. If $A \subseteq M$ is an open set, $f \in C^2(A, R)$, and Γ is a linear connection on A , then f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A iff the second covariant derivative tensorfield $D_\Gamma^2 f$ of the function f with respect to Γ is (strictly) positive semidefinite on A .

Proof. By Definition 2.4, a function f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A if A is a locally Γ -convex set and f is (strictly) convex along all the Γ -geodesics belonging to a Γ -convex neighborhood of every point of A . Since A is an open set, by the Whitehead theorem, A is locally Γ -convex. Thus, it is sufficient to verify the state-

ment only in an arbitrary Γ -convex neighborhood.

Consider an arbitrary coordinate representation $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n$, of the manifold M in any Γ -convex neighborhood U of A . Then, a Γ -geodesic joining two arbitrary points in this neighborhood can be given in the form of $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))$, $t \in [0, 1]$. Since all the geodesics joining two arbitrary points in this neighborhood can be extended to an open interval (t_1, t_2) , thus the Γ -convexity of the single variable C^2 function $f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t)))$, $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, is equivalent to the nonnegativeness of the second derivative at every point.

By differentiating twice the function $f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t)))$, $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, we obtain that

$$\frac{d}{dt}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t)))J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))\mathbf{u}'(t),$$

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))) = \mathbf{u}'(t)^T J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))^T H_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t)))J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))\mathbf{u}'(t) + \quad (12)$$

$$\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t)))\left(\mathbf{u}'(t)^T H_{\mathbf{u}\mathbf{x}}(\mathbf{u}(t))\mathbf{u}'(t)\right) + \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t)))J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))\mathbf{u}''(t).$$

As the curve $\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}(t))$, $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, is a geodesic, we can substitute the following

system of differential equations for $\mathbf{u}''(t)$:

$$\mathbf{u}''(t) = -\mathbf{u}'(t)^T \Gamma(\mathbf{u}(t)) \mathbf{u}'(t), \quad t \in (t_1, t_2), \quad (13)$$

where the $n \times n \times n$ matrix Γ contains the second Christoffel symbols and $\mathbf{u}'(t)$, $t \in (t_1, t_2)$, are the tangent vectors. Considering only geodesics at each point and in every direction, we obtain that the geodesic Hessian matrix

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathbf{u}}^g f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) &= J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})^T H_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) + \\ &\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) H\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) \Gamma(\mathbf{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n,$$

where the matrix multiplication $J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})\Gamma(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n$, is defined by the rule related to the multiplication of a row vector and a 3-dimensional matrix, applied consecutively for every row vector of $J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})$ (see formula 10). Note that the result does not depend on the order of the multiplication in the term $\nabla_{\mathbf{x}} f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) \Gamma(\mathbf{u})$, $\mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n$.

As the gradient $\nabla_{\mathbf{u}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))$ is equivalent to the expression

$$D_{\Gamma}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) = \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}), \quad \mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n, \quad (15)$$

in any coordinate representation where $D_{\Gamma}f$ denotes the first covariant derivative of f with respect to Γ which is a covariant vector field, and on a covariant vector field V , the covariant derivative with respect to Γ is equal to

$$D_{\Gamma}V = JV - V^T\Gamma,$$

where JV denotes the Jacobian matrix of the corresponding vector field at each point of an arbitrary coordinate neighborhood, the right-hand side of expression (14) is exactly the second covariant derivative of $f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))$, $\mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n$, with respect to Γ , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\Gamma}^2f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})) &= J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})^T H_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) + \\ &\nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))H\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) - \nabla_{\mathbf{x}}f(\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}))J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})\Gamma(\mathbf{u}), \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\mathbf{u} \in U \subseteq R^n.$$

It follows from the smoothness property of the function and the manifold, as well

as the Whitehead theorem that f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A iff the second covariant derivatives $D_{\Gamma}^2 f$ are positive semidefinite in every Γ -convex neighborhood.

By considering the fact that $D_{\Gamma}^2 f$ is a tensor at every point, we obtain the statement.

□

Corollary 2.2. The Γ -convexity property of sets, and functions defined on a differentiable manifold is invariant under regular nonlinear coordinate transformations.

We remark that Theorems 2.1., 2.3-2.5 are derived from the corresponding statements in Rapcsák (Ref. 11), and while the second covariant derivative is a tensor field on M , Γ is not.

Corollary 2.3. If $A \subseteq R^n$ is an open set, $f \in C^2(A, R)$, and $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in A$, is a continuously differentiable linear connection, then a locally (strictly) Γ -convex function f is (strictly) Γ -convex on A iff the set A is Γ -convex.

In Corollary 2.3, the local-global property of the C^2 function f on A is stated, which can be directly proved for continuous functions following the proof of Theo-

rem 6.1.2 in Rapcsák (Ref. 11). The local-global property for pseudoconvex functions was first stated by Komlósi (Ref. 19).

Pini (Ref. 18) investigated invexity on manifolds and the relationship, based on the integrability of the invexity map, between convexity along curves on a manifold and invexity. This corollary and Theorem 2.2 show that the local-global property, the invexity and the integrability of the invexity map are equivalent in this framework, therefore, results based on the latter two notions might be considered the reformulation of the original problem without constructing at least one new family of curves satisfying the assumptions.

Corollary 2.4. If $A \subseteq R^n$ is an open set, $f \in C^2(A, R)$, and $\Gamma(\mathbf{x}), \mathbf{x} \in A$, is a continuously differentiable linear connection, then f is locally (strictly) Γ -convex on A iff the matrices

$$D_{\Gamma}^2 f(\mathbf{x}) = Hf(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x})\Gamma(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in A, \quad (17)$$

are (strictly) positive semidefinite matrices.

Proof. If $M = R^n$, then there exists a coordinate representation of R^n such that $J\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u}) = I_n$ (the identity matrix in R^n) and $H\mathbf{x}(\mathbf{u})$ is equal to the null matrix for all $\mathbf{u} \in R^n$, thus the formula of $D_{\Gamma}^2 f$ derives from (16). \square

Remark 2.1. Let $A \subseteq R^n$ be an open subset. The linear connection $\Gamma_{l_1, l_2}^{l_3}$, $l_1, l_2, l_3 = 1, \dots, n$, is locally Lipschitz if each point of A has a neighborhood such that a constant K exists satisfying

$$|\Gamma_{l_1, l_2}^{l_3}(\mathbf{x}_1) - \Gamma_{l_1, l_2}^{l_3}(\mathbf{x}_2)| \leq K \|\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_2\|, \quad l_1, l_2, l_3 = 1, \dots, n,$$

for all pairs $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2$ in this neighborhood where the symbol $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm.

By the theory of differential equations, the local Γ -convexity of the set A can be obtained by the locally Lipschitz property of the linear connection which may substitute the continuous differentiability of the linear connection in Corollary 2.4.

Corollary 2.4 results directly in a condition for the local Γ -convexity of a smooth function.

Corollary 2.5. If $A \subseteq R^n$ is an open set, $f \in C^2(A, R)$, and

$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \neq 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = 0$, $i = k + 1, \dots, n$, for some $0 \leq k \leq n$ on A ,

then f is locally strictly Γ -convex on A with respect to

$$\Gamma^i(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{k \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x})}{\partial x_i}} (Hf(\mathbf{x}) - I_n), \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad \mathbf{x} \in A, \quad (18a)$$

$$\Gamma^i(\mathbf{x}) = I_n, \quad i = k + 1, \dots, n, \quad \mathbf{x} \in A. \quad (18b)$$

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The local convexity of sets based on space of paths belonging to smooth manifolds is investigated, then the local convexity of functions defined on local convex sets is introduced and characterized by using the Whitehead theorem (1932).

A characterization like this may be useful not only in optimization theory, but in the image analysis of optimization theory, the main principles of which were put down by Giannessi in 1984 (Ref. 13). Theorems 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5 provide some results to form a new subclass of the locally convexlike mappings consisting of a finite number of locally Γ -concave functions with the same linear connection Γ . We

remark that locally convexlike mappings like these can be constructed by given linear connections, see, e.g., Corollary 2.4. By Corollary 2.3, a mapping belonging to this subclass is convexlike iff the set $A \subseteq M$ is Γ -convex with the same linear connection. It follows that the notion of convexlike mapping defined in the image space is in connection with the given space and the local-global property.

REFERENCES

- (1) De Finetti, B., Sulle Stratificazioni Convesse, *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata*, Vol. 30, pp. 173-183, 1949.
- (2) Fenchel, W., *Convex Cones, Sets and Functions*, Mimeographed Lecture Notes, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1951.
- (3) Fenchel, W., Über Konvexe Funktionen mit vorgeschriebenen Niveaumannigfaltigkeiten, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, Vol. 63, pp. 496-506, 1956.
- (4) Roberts, A. W. and Varberg, D. E., *Convex Functions*, Academic Press, New York, London, 1973.

- (5) Debreu, G., Representation of a Preference Ordering by a Numerical Function, Decision Processes, Edited by Thrall, Coombs and Davis, John-Wiley and Sons, 1954.
- (6) Crouzeix, J.P, Contributions à l'Étude des Fonctions Quasiconvexes, Thèse, Université de Clermont-Ferrand, Clermont-Ferrand, France, 1977.
- (7) Kannai, Y, Concavifiability and Constructions of Concave Utility Functions, Journal of Mathematical Economics, Vol. 4, pp. 1-56, 1977.
- (8) Kannai, Y., Concave Utility Functions - Existence, Constructions and Cardinality, Generalized Concavity in Optimization and Economics, Edited by S. Schaible and W.T. Ziemba, Academic Press, New York, pp. 543-611, 1981.
- (9) Rapcsák, T., On Pseudolinear Functions, European Journal of Operational Research, Vol. 50, pp. 353-360 1991.
- (10) Rapcsák, T., An Unsolved Problem of Fenchel, Journal of Global Optimization, Vol. 11, pp. 207-217, 1997.

- (11) Rapcsák, T., Smooth Nonlinear Optimization in R^n , Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland, 1997.
- (12) Avriel, M., Diewert, W.E., Schaible, S. and Zang, I., Generalized Concavity, Plenum Press, New York, 1988.
- (13) Giannessi, F., Theorems of the Alternative and Optimality Conditions, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 42, pp. 331-365, 1984.
- (14) Giannessi, F. and Rapcsák, T., Images, Separation of Sets and Extremum Problems, Recent Trends in Optimization Theory and Applications, World Scientific, Singapore, Republic of Singapore, Vol. 5, pp. 79-106, 1995.
- (15) Mastroeni, G. and Rapcsák, T., On Convex Generalized Systems, Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications, Vol. 3, pp. 605-627, 2000.
- (16) Udriste, C., Convex Functions and Optimization Methods on Riemannian Manifolds, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Holland, 1994.

- (17) Whitehead, J. H. C., Convex Regions in the Geometry of Paths, Quart. J. Math., Vol. 3, pp. 33-42, 1932.
- (18) Pini, R., Convexity Along Curves and Invexity, Optimization, Vol. 29, pp. 301-309, 1994.
- (19) Komlósi, S., Second-Order Characterization of Pseudoconvex and Strictly Pseudoconvex Functions in Terms of Quasi-Hessians, Contributions to the Theory of Optimization, Edited by F. Forgó, Department of Mathematics, Karl Marx University of Economics, Budapest, Hungary, pp. 19-46, 1983.